

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/057,937	IYOKI, YUTAKA	

<b>All Participants:</b>	<b>Status of Application:</b> <u>Allowed</u>
(1) <u>Ranodhi N. Serrao</u> .	(3) _____.
(2) <u>William Pieprz (Reg. No. 33,630)</u> .	(4) _____.
<b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>8 February 2008</u>	<b>Time:</b> <u>12PM</u>
<b>Type of Interview:</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes, provide a brief description: .	
<b>Part I.</b>	
Rejection(s) discussed:	
<i>None</i>	
Claims discussed:	
<i>15, 20, and 21</i>	
Prior art documents discussed:	
<i>None</i>	
<b>Part II.</b>	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:	
<i>Examiner proposed an Examiner's Amendment with Primary's approval to amend the title, abstract, and claims 15, 20, and 21 in order to advance prosecution of the application. The Applicant agreed.</i>	
<b>Part III.</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	
<i>/William C. Vaughn, Jr./</i> <i>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2144</i>	
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	